イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくぐ

Estimation adaptative dans le cadre d'une modélisation d'interactions poissoniennes et application à des données génomiques

Laure SANSONNET - Université Paris Sud

Mardi 15 mai 2012 Séminaire TEST *organisé par les SylvainS*, - Télécom ParisTech -

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Application

Contents



Our method and general results

Implementation procedure



Introduction

- Two given events modeled by point processes *P*1 and *P*2: how does *P*1 influence *P*2?
- Any type of interaction, for example: in neurosciences, in economics, in genomics, ...
- "DNA case": study of favored or avoided distances between two given motifs along a genome.
- Motif = sequence of letters in the alphabet {a,c,g,t}.
- Genomes are long and motifs of interest are short.
 → we work in a continuous framework.

 \rightarrow occurrences of a motif = a point process lying in [0; *T*], where *T* is the normalized length of the studied genome.

• To study the influence of *P*2 on *P*1, we just invert their roles in the model.

Poisson process on the real line

Let N be a random countable set of points of \mathbb{R} (here).

- N_A number of points of N in A,
- $dN = \sum_{X \in N} \delta_X$.

Poisson process

- N_A obeys a Poisson law $\mathcal{P}(\nu(A))$,
- if A₁,..., A_l are disjoint measurable sets, N_{A1},..., N_{Al} are independent random variables.

 ν is a measure called "mean measure". Generally, $d\nu(t) = h(t) dt$. If h = constant, N is a homogeneous Poisson process.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 田 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Application



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Application

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへで

Application

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへで

Application

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへで

Application

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

- <u>Parents</u> : U_1, \ldots, U_n i.i.d. uniform random variables on [0; *T*].
- <u>Children</u> : Poisson process N with intensity $\sum_{i=1}^{N} h(t U_i)$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モート ・ 田 ・ うへぐ

Our model



We observe the occurrences of both given motifs:

- <u>Parents</u> : U_1, \ldots, U_n i.i.d. uniform random variables on [0; *T*].
- <u>Children</u> : Poisson process N with intensity $\sum_{i=1}^{N} h(t U_i)$.

Aim: Estimate h.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

Remarks

In genomics:

- The first motif of interest is a rare word and is modeled by a homogeneous Poisson process N⁰ on [0; T].
- Conditionally to the event "the number of points falling into [0; *T*] is *n*", the points of N⁰ (i.e. the parents) obey the same law as a *n*-sample of uniform random variables on [0; *T*].
- With very high probability, *n* is proportional to *T*.
 → the asymptotic considered in genomics: "DNA case".

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Remarks

In genomics:

- The first motif of interest is a rare word and is modeled by a homogeneous Poisson process N⁰ on [0; T].
- Conditionally to the event "the number of points falling into [0; *T*] is *n*", the points of N⁰ (i.e. the parents) obey the same law as a *n*-sample of uniform random variables on [0; *T*].
- With very high probability, *n* is proportional to *T*.
 - \rightarrow the asymptotic considered in genomics: "DNA case".

Hawkes process:

• Gusto and Schbath (2005), Reynaud-Bouret and Schbath (2010), Carstensen *et al.* (2010).

Remarks

In genomics:

- The first motif of interest is a rare word and is modeled by a homogeneous Poisson process N⁰ on [0; T].
- Conditionally to the event "the number of points falling into [0; *T*] is *n*", the points of N⁰ (i.e. the parents) obey the same law as a *n*-sample of uniform random variables on [0; *T*].
- With very high probability, *n* is proportional to *T*.

 \rightarrow the asymptotic considered in genomics: "DNA case".

Hawkes process:

• Gusto and Schbath (2005), Reynaud-Bouret and Schbath (2010), Carstensen *et al.* (2010).

Our model:

- no phenomenons of spontaneous apparition and self-excitation,
- but a nonparametric method of estimation, using a wavelet thresholding rule (no sparsity issues) and a double asymptotic.

.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Framework

- Assumption: $h \in \mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{L}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
- Decomposition of h on the Haar basis (obtained by dilatations and translations of $\phi = \mathbf{1}_{[0;1]}$ and $\psi = \mathbf{1}_{]\frac{1}{2};1]} - \mathbf{1}_{[0;\frac{1}{2}]}$):

$$h = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} eta_\lambda arphi_\lambda \quad ext{with} \quad eta_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) arphi_\lambda(x) \, dx,$$

where
$$\Lambda = \{\lambda = (j, k) : j \ge -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
 and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\forall \lambda = (j, k) \in \Lambda, \varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(x - k) & \text{if } j = -1\\ 2^{j/2}\psi(2^{j}x - k) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

• For the theoretical results, we have used the decomposition of *h* on a particular biorthogonal wavelet basis, built by Cohen *et al.* (1992).

.

Framework

- Assumption: $h \in \mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{L}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
- Decomposition of h on the Haar basis (obtained by dilatations and translations of $\phi = \mathbf{1}_{[0;1]}$ and $\psi = \mathbf{1}_{]\frac{1}{2};1]} - \mathbf{1}_{[0;\frac{1}{2}]}$):

$$h = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} eta_\lambda arphi_\lambda \quad ext{with} \quad eta_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) arphi_\lambda(x) \, dx,$$

where
$$\Lambda = \{\lambda = (j, k) : j \ge -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
 and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $\forall \lambda = (j, k) \in \Lambda, \varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(x - k) & \text{if } j = -1\\ 2^{j/2}\psi(2^{j}x - k) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

• For the theoretical results, we have used the decomposition of *h* on a particular biorthogonal wavelet basis, built by Cohen *et al.* (1992).

Aim: Estimate the β_{λ} 's.

Framework

For all
$$\lambda$$
 in Λ , $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \frac{G(\varphi_{\lambda})}{n}$, with

$$G(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(t - U_i) - \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(t - U)) \right] dN_t.$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Framework

For all
$$\lambda$$
 in Λ , $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \frac{G(\varphi_{\lambda})}{n}$, with

$$G(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(t - U_i) - \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(t - U)) \right] dN_t.$$

Lemma

For all
$$\lambda \in \Lambda$$
, $\mathbb{E}(G(\varphi_{\lambda})) = n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{\lambda}(x)h(x) dx$, i.e. $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ is an unbiased estimator for β_{λ} .

Application

Framework

For all
$$\lambda$$
 in Λ , $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \frac{G(\varphi_{\lambda})}{n}$, with

$$G(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(t - U_i) - \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(t - U)) \right] dN_t.$$

Lemma

For all
$$\lambda \in \Lambda$$
, $\mathbb{E}(G(\varphi_{\lambda})) = n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{\lambda}(x)h(x) dx$, i.e. $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ is an unbiased estimator for β_{λ} .

Furthermore, its variance is upper bounded as follows:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}) \leqslant C\left\{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n}{T^2}\right\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

イロト 不良 マイボン イボン しょうくう

Description of our method

• Assumption: *h* is compactly supported in [-A; A], with A > 0 (A = the maximal memory along DNA sequences).

Description of our method

- Assumption: h is compactly supported in [-A; A], with A > 0 (A = the maximal memory along DNA sequences).
- $\Gamma = \{\lambda = (j, k) \in \Lambda : -1 \leq j \leq j_0, k \in \mathcal{K}_j\}$ a deterministic subset of Λ with $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \to |\Gamma| \simeq 2^{j_0}$.

Description of our method

- Assumption: *h* is compactly supported in [-A; A], with A > 0 (A =the maximal memory along DNA sequences).
- $\Gamma = \{\lambda = (j, k) \in \Lambda : -1 \leq j \leq j_0, k \in \mathcal{K}_j\}$ a deterministic subset of Λ with $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \to |\Gamma| \simeq 2^{j_0}$.
- Given some parameter $\gamma > 0$, for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, the threshold:

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\gamma, \Delta) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \widetilde{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \Delta \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n}$$

Description of our method

- Assumption: h is compactly supported in [-A; A], with A > 0 (A = the maximal memory along DNA sequences).
- $\Gamma = \{\lambda = (j, k) \in \Lambda : -1 \leq j \leq j_0, k \in \mathcal{K}_j\}$ a deterministic subset of Λ with $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \to |\Gamma| \simeq 2^{j_0}$.
- Given some parameter $\gamma > 0$, for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, the threshold:

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\gamma, \Delta) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \widetilde{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \Delta \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n}$$

- Δ a positive quantity (of order $\frac{j_0^2 2^{j_0/2}}{n} + \frac{j_0}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sqrt{j_0 n}}{T}$ for theoretical results),
- $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ = number of points of the process N lying in \mathbb{R} ,

•
$$B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(\cdot - U_{i}) - \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(\cdot - U))\right]\right\|_{\infty},$$

- $\widetilde{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\widehat{V}(\varphi_{\lambda}) + \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \widehat{V}(\varphi_{\lambda})B^2(\varphi_{\lambda})} + 3\gamma j_0 B^2(\varphi_{\lambda})\right),$
- $\hat{V}(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(t U_{i}) \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(t U)) \right] \right)^{2} dN_{t}.$

Description of our method

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \widetilde{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \boldsymbol{\Delta}\frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n}$$

- *B*, \hat{V} and \tilde{V} only depend on the observations and can be exactly computed.
- β̃ the estimator of β = (β_λ)_{λ∈Λ} associated with the previous thresholding rule:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}| \geqslant \eta_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Delta})} \mathbf{1}_{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}}\right)_{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

• $\tilde{h} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tilde{\beta}_{\lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}$ an estimator of h that only depends on the choice of (γ, Δ) and j_0 fixed later.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

Application

Main results

An oracle type inequality.

Theorem

We assume that $n \ge 2$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $2^{j_0} \le n < 2^{j_0+1}$, $\gamma > 2 \log 2$ and Δ is defined in a technical way. Then the estimator \tilde{h} , previously defined, satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|\tilde{h}-h\|_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq C_{1} \inf_{m \in \Gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \notin m} \beta_{\lambda}^{2} + |m| \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n}{T^{2}}\right] (\log n)^{4} \right\} + C_{2} \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n}{T^{2}}\right].$$

Application

Main results

An oracle type inequality.

Theorem

We assume that $n \ge 2$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $2^{j_0} \le n < 2^{j_0+1}$, $\gamma > 2 \log 2$ and Δ is defined in a technical way. Then the estimator \tilde{h} , previously defined, satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|\tilde{h}-h\|_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq C_{1} \inf_{m \in \Gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \notin m} \beta_{\lambda}^{2} + |m| \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n}{T^{2}}\right] (\log n)^{4} \right\} + C_{2} \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{n}{T^{2}}\right].$$

"DNA case" (n proportional to T)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|\tilde{h}-h\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leqslant C_{1} \inf_{m \in \Gamma} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \notin m} \beta_{\lambda}^{2} + \frac{(\log T)^{4}}{T} |m| \right\} + \frac{C_{2}}{T}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = の��

Main results

A minimax result on Besov balls still with n proportional to T.

$$\mathcal{B}^{s}_{2,\infty}(R) = \left\{ f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_{\lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}, \forall j \ge -1, \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \beta^{2}_{(j,k)} \leqslant R^{2} 2^{-2js} \right\}$$

.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

Main results

A minimax result on Besov balls still with n proportional to T.

$$\mathcal{B}^{s}_{2,\infty}(R) = \left\{ f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_{\lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}, \forall j \ge -1, \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \beta^{2}_{(j,k)} \leqslant R^{2} 2^{-2js} \right\}$$

Corollary ("DNA case")

Let R > 0 and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that 0 < s < r + 1. Assume that $h \in \mathcal{B}_{2,\infty}^{s}(R)$ and n is proportional to T. Then the estimator \tilde{h} satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|\tilde{h}-h\|_2^2\right) \leqslant C\left(\frac{(\log T)^4}{T}\right)^{\frac{2s}{2s+1}}$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Algorithm

From now on, we consider "DNA case": *n* is proportional to *T*. Computation of the family of random thresholds $(\eta_{\lambda}(\gamma, \delta))_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$:

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \hat{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n},$$

where $\Delta = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}}$ (because *n* is proportional to *T*).

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Algorithm

From now on, we consider "DNA case": *n* is proportional to *T*. Computation of the family of random thresholds $(\eta_{\lambda}(\gamma, \delta))_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$:

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \hat{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n},$$

where $\Delta = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}}$ (because *n* is proportional to *T*). • We set $j_0 = 5$ in the sequel.

うして ふぼう ふほう ふほう しょうく

Algorithm

From now on, we consider "DNA case": *n* is proportional to *T*. Computation of the family of random thresholds $(\eta_{\lambda}(\gamma, \delta))_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$:

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \hat{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n},$$

where $\Delta = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}}$ (because *n* is proportional to *T*).

- We set $j_0 = 5$ in the sequel.
- Computation of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(t U_{i}) \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(t U)) \right],$ with a cascade algorithm (inspired by Mallat (1989)), in order to compute the coefficients $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$, \hat{V} and B.

うして ふぼう ふほう ふほう しょう

Algorithm

From now on, we consider "DNA case": *n* is proportional to *T*. Computation of the family of random thresholds $(\eta_{\lambda}(\gamma, \delta))_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$:

$$\eta_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = \sqrt{2\gamma j_0 \hat{V}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right)} + \frac{\gamma j_0}{3} B\left(\frac{\varphi_{\lambda}}{n}\right) + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{N_{\mathbb{R}}}{n},$$

where $\Delta = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{T}}$ (because *n* is proportional to *T*).

- We set $j_0 = 5$ in the sequel.
- Computation of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(t U_{i}) \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}(\varphi_{\lambda}(t U)) \right],$ with a cascade algorithm (inspired by Mallat (1989)), in order to compute the coefficients $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$, \hat{V} and B.
- Choice of the parameters γ and δ?
 → calibration of parameters from a practical point of view.

Implementation procedure

 $h_2 = 4 \times \frac{8}{3} \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0.5;0.625]} + \mathbf{1}_{[1;1.25]} \right)$

Application

Simulations

Some reconstructions.

 $h_1 = 4 \times \mathbf{1}_{[0:1]}$



Juu and I = 10000

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Simulations

What happens if we are wrong about the support of the function we want to estimate?



$$h_3 = 4 \times \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathbf{1}_{[-0.75;-0.5]} + \mathbf{1}_{[4.25;8]} \right)$$

Reconstructions of h_3 (true: dotted line, estimate: solid line) with different supports: top: A = 1; middle: A = 5; bottom: A = 10

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

э

Application

Simulations

A reconstruction of a smooth function: $h(t) = 4 \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$.



Reconstruction of h on the Haar basis (true: dotted line, estimate: solid line) $n \simeq 1000$ and T = 10000

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 田 ・ うへぐ

Application

Simulations

A reconstruction of a smooth function: $h(t) = 4 \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$.



Reconstruction of h on the Spline basis (true: dotted line, estimate: solid line) $n \simeq 1000$ and T = 10000

うして ふぼう ふほう ふほう しょう

Influence promoters/genes in E. coli

Data:

• the sequence composed of both strands of *E. coli* genome of length 4 639 221 bases (we took 10 000 bases for the maximal memory)

 \rightarrow a sequence of length 9 288 442 (= 2 * 4639221 + 10000),

- locations of 4 290 genes (we took the positions of the first base of coding sequences),
- locations of 1 036 occurrences of the major promoter: tataat.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Influence promoters/genes in E. coli

Data:

• the sequence composed of both strands of *E. coli* genome of length 4 639 221 bases (we took 10 000 bases for the maximal memory)

 \rightarrow a sequence of length 9 288 442 (= 2 * 4639221 + 10000),

- locations of 4 290 genes (we took the positions of the first base of coding sequences),
- locations of 1 036 occurrences of the major promoter: tataat. For convenience, we work on a scale of 1 : 1000 and we set

T = 9289 and so A = 10.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Application

Influence promoters/genes in E. coli

How does the DNA motif tataat influence genes?

- parents = tataat,
- children = genes.

Application

Influence promoters/genes in E. coli

How does the DNA motif tataat influence genes?

- parents = tataat,
- children = genes.



▲ロト ▲撮 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ① ● ○ ●

イロト 不良 マイボン イボン しょうくう

Application

Influence promoters/genes in E. coli

How does genes influence the DNA motif tataat?

- parents = genes,
- children = tataat.

Application

Influence promoters/genes in E. coli

How does genes influence the DNA motif tataat?

- parents = genes,
- children = tataat.



▲ロト ▲掃ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー わえぐ

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

Conclusion

- Our random thresholding procedure is optimal in the oracle and minimax setting.
- Some simulations illustrate the robustness of our procedure.
- The application to genomic data validates our procedure with a good detection of favored or avoided distances between occurrences of tataat and genes along the *E. coli* genome.

うして ふぼう ふほう ふほう しょう

Conclusion

- Our random thresholding procedure is optimal in the oracle and minimax setting.
- Some simulations illustrate the robustness of our procedure.
- The application to genomic data validates our procedure with a good detection of favored or avoided distances between occurrences of tataat and genes along the *E. coli* genome.

Further possible extensions of our model:

- a more sophisticated model that takes into account the phenomenons of spontaneous apparition and self-excitation,
- an extension of our cascade algorithm to general wavelet bases and not only to Haar bases,
- a study of similar processes in the spatial framework.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

References

Alan G. Hawkes (1971)

Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes, *Biometrika*, **58**(1): 83–90.

Gaëlle Gusto and Sophie Schbath (2005)

FADO: a statistical method to detect favored or avoided distances between occurrences of motifs using the Hawkes' model, *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*, **4**(1).

Patricia Reynaud-Bouret and Sophie Schbath (2010) Adaptive estimation for Hawkes processes; application to genome analysis, *The Annals of Statistics*, **38**(5): 2781–2822.



Lisbeth Carstensen, Albin Sandelin, Ole Winther and Niels R. Hansen (2010) Multivariate Hawkes process models of the occurrence of regulatory elements, *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**(456).

Albert Cohen, Ingrid Daubechies and Jean-Christophe Feauveau (1992) Biorthogonal bases of compactly supported wavelets, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **45**: 485–560.

- 日本 本語 本 本 田 本 田 本 田 本

References

Víctor H. de la Peña and Evarist Giné (1999) Decoupling: From Dependence to Independence, Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, New York.

Stéphane G. Mallat (1989)

Multiresolution approximations and wavelet orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **315**(1): 69–87.

Patricia Reynaud-Bouret and Vincent Rivoirard (2010) Near optimal thresholding estimation of a Poisson intensity on the real line, *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, **4**: 172–238.



Laure Sansonnet (2011)

Wavelet thresholding estimation in a Poissonian interactions model with application to genomic data,

submitted and available on my web page: http://sites.google.com/site/lauresansonnet/

References

Víctor H. de la Peña and Evarist Giné (1999) Decoupling: From Dependence to Independence, Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, New York.

Stéphane G. Mallat (1989)

Multiresolution approximations and wavelet orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **315**(1): 69–87.

Patricia Reynaud-Bouret and Vincent Rivoirard (2010) Near optimal thresholding estimation of a Poisson intensity on the real line, *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, **4**: 172–238.



Laure Sansonnet (2011)

Wavelet thresholding estimation in a Poissonian interactions model with application to genomic data,

submitted and available on my web page: http://sites.google.com/site/lauresansonnet/

Thanks for your attention!